# Multi-line polarisation

# analysis of stellar spectra

#### **Oleg Kochukhov**

oleg.kochukhov@physics.uu.se

#### Uppsala University, Sweden

#### Zeeman effect in stellar spectra

- Polarisation due to Zeeman effect is weak
- Required precision/sensitivity
  - strongly magnetic/active stars:  $\sim 10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-3}$
  - other, "typical" stars:  $\sim 10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-5}$



### Multi-line analysis?

- Polarisation signatures of different lines are similar
- Modern stellar spectropolarimetric observations have a wide wavelength coverage



#### Multi-line analysis?

- Polarisation signatures of different lines are similar
- Modern stellar spectropolarimetric observations have a



#### Simplified spectrum description

• Weak field ( $B \le 1$  kG) and weak line ( $d \le 0.3$ ) assumptions

 $1 - I_{\rm loc}(v) \propto dP_I(v)$ 

$$V_{\rm loc}(v) \propto \lambda \bar{g} B_{\parallel} \frac{\partial I_{\rm loc}}{\partial v} = d\lambda \bar{g} B_{\parallel} P_V(v)$$

$$Q_{\rm loc}(v) \propto \lambda^2 \bar{G} B_\perp^2 \frac{\partial^2 I_{\rm loc}}{\partial v^2} = d\lambda^2 \bar{G} B_\perp^2 P_Q(v)$$

Disk integration

$$\iint V_{\rm loc}(v - v_{\rm Dop}) dS \propto d\lambda \bar{g} \iint B_{\parallel} P_V(v - v_{\rm Dop}) dS = d\lambda \bar{g} Z_V(v)$$

#### Least-squares deconvolution

Spectrum = superposition of shifted and scaled profiles

$$\begin{split} I(v) &= 1 - \sum_{i} w_{I}^{i} Z_{I}(v - v^{i}), \quad w_{I}^{i} = d_{i} \\ V(v) &= \sum_{i} w_{V}^{i} Z_{V}(v - v^{i}), \quad w_{V}^{i} = \bar{g} \lambda_{i} d_{i} \\ Q(v) &= \sum_{i} w_{Q}^{i} Z_{Q}(v - v^{i}), \quad w_{Q}^{i} = \bar{G} \lambda_{i}^{2} d_{i} \end{split}$$
 Donati et al. (1997)  
Wade et al. (2000)  
Kochukhov et al. (2010)

Equivalent formulation as a matrix multiplication



#### Implementation of LSD

Finding mean profile for a given spectrum and line mask



#### Implementation of LSD

Finding mean profile for a given spectrum and line mask

$$||\boldsymbol{V}_{obs} - \boldsymbol{M} \cdot \boldsymbol{Z}_{V}|| \rightarrow \min$$
$$\boldsymbol{Z}_{V} = (\boldsymbol{M}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}^{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{M})^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}^{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_{obs}$$
inverse of a.c. matrix CCF

- ◆ S/N gain of 10-40, polarimetric sensitivity <10<sup>-5</sup>
- ◆ Compression of information (from ~3×10<sup>5</sup> wavelength pixels to ~100 velocity bins)

# LSD applications (1)

Magnetic detection and longitudinal field measurement

- Mean longitudinal magnetic field

$$\langle B_{\rm z} \rangle = -\frac{7.145 \times 10^6}{\lambda_0 g_0} \frac{\int V(v - v_0) \mathrm{d}v}{\int (1 - I) \mathrm{d}v}$$

 Detection of polarisation signatures in lines profiles

$$FAP = 1 - \Gamma(\nu/2, \chi^2/2)$$
$$\chi^2 = \sum_i V_i^2 / \sigma_i^2$$



,

### LSD applications (2)

Precise polarisation measurements for solar-type stars

HARPSpol observations of  $\varepsilon$  Eri and  $\alpha$  Cen A (Piskunov et al. 2011)



O. Kochukhov

# LSD applications (3)

#### Detection of weak magnetic fields in normal A-type stars



Lignieres et al. (2009); Petit et al. (2010, 2011)

## LSD applications (4)

Full Stokes vector observations of active stars



## LSD applications (5)

#### ZDI mapping of stellar magnetic fields





Theory and modelling of polarisation in astrophysics, Prague, 2014

### LSD applications (6)

#### Global magnetic fields of inactive solar-type stars



# LSD applications (7)

• Systematic investigation of stellar magnetic field topologies



#### **Extensions and improvements**

- Principal Component Analysis (e.g. Carroll et al. 2012)
- ◆ LSD with non-linear profile addition (Sennhauser et al. 2009)
- ◆ LSD with multiple mean profiles (Kochukhov et al. 2010)
- LSD de-noising of intensity spectra (Tkachenko et al. 2013)

#### How trustworthy are LSD results?

- A very coarse description of line profiles
- Does not capture important physics (star spots)
- Expected to fail for strong magnetic fields
- Expected to fail for strong lines



### Interpretation of LSD profiles

Typically, as a single line with mean parameters



Gaussian local profile + weak-field approximation

Unno-Rachkovsky solution

Spectrum synthesis with mean line parameters

#### Assessing single-line approximation

Appropriate for Stokes *IV* and *B* < 2 kG (Kochukhov et al. 2010)



#### Assessing single-line approximation

Appropriate for Stokes *IV* and *B* < 2 kG (Kochukhov et al. 2010)



#### Assessing single-line approximation

Cannot match LSD profile response to the variation of temperature and chemical abundance



#### Alternative way to interpret LSD profiles

Computing resources allow direct calculation of large chunks of stellar polarisation spectra



#### "Brute force" multi-line approach: apply LSD to observations *and* full polarised RT calculations

#### Alternative way to interpret LSD profiles

Single-line

Brute force multi-line



#### Kochukhov et al. (2014)

### Synthetic LSD profile tables

 Detailed line formation physics incorporated in interpretation of LSD profiles

LSD Stokes / vs. B

LSD Stokes V vs. abundance



#### Application to weak-field Ap star CU Vir



O. Kochukhov

### Conclusions

Multi-line techniques are essential for stellar spectropolarimetry

 Least-squares deconvolution (LSD) is a widely used and powerful multi-line method

 LSD is robust for magnetic field detections and longitudinal field measurements

- ◆ Interpretation of LSD profile shapes is problematic
  - Single-line approach limited to Stokes IV and weak fields
  - Multi-line modelling of LSD profiles using detailed polarised spectrum synthesis is feasible